

Process for Reviewing and Approving Repository Content

- Topic proposed
 - ex: DevOps on z/OS
- WG vote to approve topic and identify where it will be placed in the framework
 - Do we need a majority or a super-majority?
 - What is the number of meeting attendees required to count as a quorum?
- Members propose content for the topic.
 - Whitepapers, articles, blogs, videos, courses, etc
 - Original content or pointers to existing content
 - Must the content free?
 - Not mention a vendor?
 - Not behind a registration gate?
 - Claims need to be backed up with data/proof.
- WG committee writes our Point of View
 - Reviews proposed content as reference material
 - As a group, writes an editorial POV
 - Curates supporting content based on guidelines and value
- Content distributed to the members for review.
 - How many days prior to the vote must we distribute the content?
- Members vote to include content or not.
 - Anything declined needs to have deficiencies identified and the nominator given a chance to remedy and resubmit.